

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

CRS
Docket No: 4403-13
10 February 2014





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 5 February 2014. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 13 February 1985. You received nonjudicial punishment on two occasions and were convicted by a summary court-martial of wrongful use of amphetamines/methamphetamines on two occasion, wrongful use of marijuana and cocaine, and failure to go to your appointed place of duty on six occasions.

On 7 March 1988 an administrative discharge board recommended that you be separated with a discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of

misconduct. Thereafter, on 11 July 1988 you received nonjudicial punishment for failure to obey a lawful order. After review by the discharge authority, the recommendation for separation was approved and on 8 August 1988 you were separated with a discharge under other than honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. The Board concluded that these factors were insufficient to warrant upgrade of your discharge, given the repetitive nature of your misconduct as well as the seriousness of your drug offenses. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

Acting Executive Director