

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

REC

Docket No: 04004-12 27 February 2013



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 February 2013. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 25 May 1984. On 22 August 1985, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for being in an unauthorized absence (UA) status on two occasions totaling 49 days, dereliction in the line of duty, and breaking restriction. On 15 May 1986, were convicted by a special court-martial (SPCM) of being UA for 212 days, and breaking restriction. You were sentenced to forfeiture of \$1,278, confinement at hard labor for three months and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). The discharge authority directed the execution of your BCD. On 28 January 1988, after appellate review, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge

given your record of an NJP and a conviction by a SPCM of serious offenses. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFE

Executive Director