

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TJR

Docket No: 4185-11 10 February 2012



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 February 2012. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You reenlisted in the Marine Corps on 13 August 1982 after three years of prior honorable service. You continued to serve without disciplinary incident until 13 July 1983, when you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of wrongful possession of lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) with intent to distribute, eight specifications of attempted distribution of 21 doses of LSD, and nine specifications of theft from fellow Marines in the amount of \$50. You were sentenced to reduction to paygrade E-1, confinement at hard labor for 90 days, a \$1,000 forfeiture of pay, and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). Subsequently, the BCD was approved at all levels of review and on 30 September 1986 you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your period of prior satisfactory service and desire to upgrade your discharge. It also considered your assertion that there were no actual drugs involved in your offenses. Nevertheless, these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization

of your discharge because of the seriousness of your drug related misconduct. Finally, there is documented evidence in the record that is contrary to your assertion. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PREVERER