



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BJG

Docket No: 3442-11

23 January 2012

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 5 January 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and entered active duty on 3 October 1985. You received nonjudicial punishment on three occasions for four periods of unauthorized absence totaling 17 days, disobeying a lawful regulation, driving under the influence of alcohol, failure to obey a lawful order, minor in possession of alcoholic beverages, using a false or unauthorized pass, and insubordinate conduct. You were then notified that your commanding officer was recommending you for administrative separation with an other than honorable (OTH) characterization

of service due to misconduct. You exercised your procedural right to have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). The ADB met, found that you had committed misconduct, and recommended an OTH characterization of service. On 3 October 1988, you were discharged with an OTH characterization of service due to misconduct, and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, remorse, medical issues, post service good conduct, and current desire to upgrade your discharge. However, the Board concluded that your discharge should not be changed due to your acts of misconduct. You are advised that no discharge is upgraded due merely to the passage of time or post service good conduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director