



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

RDZ:ecb
Docket No. 01599-11
28 June 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.


A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 June 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary evidence considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion, from Headquarters Marine Corps dated 7 April 2011, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. More specifically the Board concluded that your disciplinary record provided a sound basis for the assignment of an RE-4 reenlistment code. With regard to your request for an honorable discharge the advisory opinion correctly points out that you already have an honorable discharge. Please see the DD Form 214 which is attached to the advisory opinion. Block 24 clearly shows that you have an honorable discharge. Consequently no corrective action is necessary.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice. *

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure