



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 12326-10
7 April 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 16 February 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you reenlisted in the Navy on 10 August 1993. On 3 October 1996 you received nonjudicial punishment for two periods of unauthorized absence and making a false official statement. On 22 October 1996 you were concerning your failure to pay just debts and later counseled as to your failure to go to appointed place of duty and insubordination.

On 6 December 1996 your commanding officer recommended that you be separated from the Navy with a general discharge by reason of misconduct due to the commission of a serious offense. After review by the discharge authority, the recommendation for separation was approved and on 20 December 1996 you were separated with a general discharge.

The Board carefully considered your contention to the effect that your discharge should be upgraded because you were discharged due to a one time act of financial misconduct, and the passage of time, but it found those factors insufficient to warrant any corrective action in your case. Accordingly, and as you have not

demonstrated that your discharge is erroneous or unjust, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director