

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SJN

Docket No: 12214-10

31 August 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 August 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

On 19 November 1985, you reenlisted in the Navy after seven years of honorable service. The Board found that you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of three specifications of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling 154 days. You received a reduction in paygrade, confinement, and a forfeiture of pay. 16 January 1987, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for a period of UA. You were counseled that further misconduct could result in administrative discharge action. On 25 January 1987, you missed ship's movement and began a period of UA that lasted 1,761 days, ending with your apprehension by civil authorities on 21 November 1991. Although your record is incomplete, it appears that subsequently, you submitted a written request for an other than honorable (OTH) discharge in order to avoid trial by courtmartial for the 1,761 days of UA. Prior to submitting this request for discharge, you conferred with a qualified military lawyer, were advised of your rights, and were warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. Your request for discharge was granted and, on 21 January 1992,

you received an OTH discharge in lieu of trial by court-martial. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, prior honorable service, last period of service, post service accomplishments, and character letters. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your NJP and SPCM for periods of UA, and last period of UA that lasted over four years. The Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge was approved. The Board also concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Navy when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEDERER LECTOR