

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

Docket No: 10603-10

26 July 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 July 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 10 July 1974. On 26 June 1975, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for unlawful assault by placing an ashtray containing lighter fluid between a fellow Sailors legs and lighting the fluid, and by spraying him with shaving cream. On 21 July 1977, you were convicted at a special court-martial (SPCM) of unlawful entry with intent to commit a criminal offense, stealing property valued at \$1,424.45, and conspiring to commit larceny. You were sentenced to a forfeiture of \$1,494, reduction in pay grade, confinement at hard labor for six months, and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). The discharge authority directed the execution of your BCD. On 15 January 1979, after appellate review, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your record of one NJP and conviction by SPCM of serious offenses. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

10. 8

W. DEAN PFEIRFER