



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG  
Docket No: 9693-10  
8 June 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 June 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy and entered active on 7 September 1983. You received nonjudicial punishment on four occasions for disobeying a lawful general regulation, unauthorized absence, and absence from and failure to go to your appointed place of duty. On 8 June 1987, you were released from active duty under honorable conditions due to an early release program, transferred to the Navy Reserve, and assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code. On 6 September 1989, you were honorably discharged from the Navy Reserve.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to upgrade your release from active duty. However, the Board concluded that your discharge should not be changed due to your misconduct. The Board believed that you were fortunate to receive a general characterization of service, since Sailors who have committed misconduct such as yours normally receive other than honorable discharges. You are advised that no discharge is automatically upgraded due merely to the passage of time or post service good conduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director