



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 8445-10
20 April 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 19 April 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.


After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You entered Officer Candidate School (OCS) in the Navy Reserve on 27 March 2010. Your record is incomplete, but it appears that you voluntarily requested to disenroll and be released from active duty. Your request was approved, and on 28 June 2010, you were honorably discharged for failure to complete a commissioning program, and assigned an RE-3K (disenrolled from an officer program) reentry code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your current desire to serve in the armed forces. However, the Board concluded that you were correctly assigned the RE-3K reentry code due to your request to be disenrolled from OCS. You are advised that the RE-3K was the best reentry code you could have been assigned considering the facts of your case and means that you are eligible for reenlistment, except for the disqualifying factor of you failing to complete a commissioning program. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director