



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR
Docket No: 8424-10
24 June 2011

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 21 June 2011. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 10 September 1981 at age 18 and served without disciplinary incident until 24 September 1982, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for disobedience, two specifications of disrespect, and two specifications of failure to obey a lawful order. Shortly thereafter, on 19 November 1982, you received NJP for two specifications of disrespect, failure to obey a lawful order, and communicating a threat.


On 6 May 1983 you received NJP for disrespect and failure to obey a lawful order. The punishment imposed was restriction and extra duty for 15 days and a \$100 forfeiture of pay. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation action by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement of a discreditable nature with military authorities. At that time you waived your right to consult with legal counsel and to present your case to an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 6 June 1983 your commanding officer recommended discharge under honorable conditions by reason of misconduct due

to a pattern of misconduct and frequent involvement of a discreditable nature with military authorities. On 10 June 1983 you received your fourth NJP for three periods of failure to go to your appointed place of duty. On 18 June 1983 the discharge authority approved the foregoing recommendation and directed your commanding officer to issue you a general discharge by reason of misconduct, and on 24 June 1983, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, desire to upgrade your discharge, and the passage of time. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your repetitive misconduct which resulted in four NJPs. Further, you were given an opportunity to defend yourself, but waived your procedural right to present your case to an ADB. Finally, no discharge is automatically upgraded due solely to the passage of time. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director