

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 8125-10 1 April 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 March 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you reenlisted in the Navy on 2 May 1989. On 8 May 1998 you received nonjudicial punishment for assaulting another Sailor. On 14 May 1999 you received nonjudicial punishment for failure to obey a lawful order. The punishment imposed consisted of reduction in rate to EN2, E-5, and restriction for 45 days. On 31 October 2002 you were honorably transferred to the Fleet Reserve in grade E-5.

The Board found no merit in your request for reinstatement to EN1. It concluded that your commanding officer acted reasonably in your case, and that he was in the best position to resolve the factual issues and to impose appropriate punishment. There is no credible evidence that you did not commit the charged offense. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFE

Executive Direct