

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG

Docket No: 7949-10

6 April 2011





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 5 April 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You entered active duty in the Navy on 19 June 1998. On 28 May 2003, you were counseled and warned that failure to comply with body composition standards could result in administrative separation processing. On 15 May 2004, you were counseled and warned regarding your intentional failure of an advancement examination. On 6 June 2006, your recommendation for advancement was withdrawn due to substandard performance. You received two adverse performance evaluations in which you were not recommended for retention. On 19 June 2006, you were

honorably discharged due to non-retention on active duty, and were assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for reenlistment) reentry code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your current desire to serve in the armed forces. However, the Board concluded that you were correctly assigned the RE-4 reentry code due to your weight issues, substandard performance, and non-recommendation for retention. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PRETEREN