



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 7518-10
31 March 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 March 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You entered active duty in the Navy on 15 March 1982. You received nonjudicial punishment on three occasions for unauthorized absence (five days), going from your appointed place of duty (two specifications), and absence from your appointed place of duty (two specifications). On 1 April 1985, you were released from active duty and transferred to the reserve component with a general characterization of service based on your service record, and were assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code. On 15 February

1988, you were honorably discharged from the Naval Reserve and were not recommended for reenlistment.

You are advised that at the end of a Sailor's first enlistment, he must be serving in pay grade E-4, or in pay grade E-3 and be recommended for promotion.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and current desire to change your reenlistment code. However, the Board concluded that you were correctly assigned the RE-4 due to your misconduct, pay grade of E-3, and non-recommendation for retention. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director