

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN

Docket No: 06992-10

31 March 2011



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 March 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 24 August 1953, and served without disciplinary incident. However, you were separated on 5 November 1954, due to pregnancy and received an honorable discharge.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your claim that you did not receive credit for 13 weeks of recruit training, thereby changing your enlistment date from August 1953 to April 1953. However, you provided no documentation that supports your claim. Additionally, your record shows an enlistment contract that you signed on 24 August 1953. Recruit training commences after you sign your enlistment contract and not before; therefore, you could not have received recruit training prior to your date of entry into military service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive D

2