



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BJG
Docket No: 5879-10
24 February 2011

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 23 February 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You entered active duty in the Navy on 25 March 1980. You received nonjudicial punishment on two occasions for disrespect, using provoking language, and absence from your appointed place of duty (two specifications). You completed a drug rehabilitation program, but unfortunately, a later urinalysis revealed that you had used cocaine. You were then notified of pending administrative separation processing with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge due to misconduct. You elected to have your case heard by an administrative discharge

board (ADB) that found you had committed misconduct, and recommended an OTH due to misconduct. Your commanding officer concurred with the ADB's finding and recommendation. You received the OTH discharge due to misconduct on 10 April 1981, and were assigned an RE-4 (not recommended for retention) reenlistment code.

In its review of your application, the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and remorse. However, the Board concluded that your discharge should not be changed due to your acts of misconduct. You are advised that no discharge is upgraded due merely to the passage of time or post service good conduct. In view of the above, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director