



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

REC
Docket No: 05093-10
10 February 2011

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 February 2011. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 9 March 1962. On 8 February 1963, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for assaulting a fellow Marine. On 25 February 1963, you received NJP for being absent from your appointed place of duty, and from general quarters. On 1 May 1963, you received NJP for being in an unauthorized absence (UA) status for two days. On 6 July 1965, you received NJP for breach of the peace, and assaulting another Marine. On 28 October 1965, you were convicted by a summary court-martial (SCM) of being UA 11 days. You were sentenced to forfeitures of \$50, reduction in pay grade, and confinement at hard labor for 30 days. On 11 January 1966, you received NJP for being UA. On 15 February 1966, you received NJP for leaving your post without being properly relieved. On 3 March 1966, you received NJP for breach of the peace. On 18 July 1966, you received NJP for reckless operation of a motor vehicle, and being disrespectful in language toward a noncommissioned officer. You were informed that you would receive a general discharge at the expiration of your term

of active obligated service since you were not recommended for reenlistment. The discharge authority directed a general discharge. You were so discharged on 17 August 1966.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth. However, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant any change in your character of service, given your record of eight NJP's and one conviction by SCM of misconduct. The Board also noted that you were fortunate to receive a general discharge since a separation under other than honorable conditions is often directed when an individual is found to have committed misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director