



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TAL  
Docket No: 3867-09  
15 April 2010

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 April 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 1 November 1982 at age 19. You received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on three occasions from 15 July 1983 through 18 July 1984 for unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit for 15 days and for missing ship's movement. On 18 March 1985, you were convicted by special court-martial (SPCM) of UA from your unit for a period of 121 days and missing ship's movement. The sentence imposed was 45 days confinement, forfeiture of pay and a bad conduct discharge (BCD). You received the BCD after appellate review was complete.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. Nevertheless, the Board found that these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness of your misconduct that resulted in three NJPs and a SPCM for periods of UA that totaled over four months. Finally, there is no provision of law or in Navy regulations, which provides that an unfavorable discharge be upgraded, based solely on the passage of time or good conduct in civilian life subsequent to leaving naval service. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and

votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director