



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 9131-09
26 March 2010

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 3 February 2010. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 9 August 1989. You received nonjudicial punishment on three occasions for offenses that included an unauthorized absence, drunk driving, and absence from appointed place of duty. On 15 July 1993 you were not recommended for reenlistment or advancement to AN (E-3). On the same date you were honorably released from active duty by reason of general demobilization, reduction in authorized strength and were assigned a reentry code of RE-4.


In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and overall record of service. The Board concluded that those factors were insufficient to warrant a change in the reason for discharge, given your disciplinary record.

Applicable regulations normally require the assignment of an RE-4 reentry code to individuals who are not recommended for reenlistment. The Board thus concluded that there is no error or injustice in your reentry code. Accordingly, your application

has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director