

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100 __

JRE
Docket No. 08053-09
18 December 2009





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 December 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you served on active duty in the Navy from 22 June 2007 to 1 August 2007, when you were discharged by reason of erroneous entry due to a stress fracture of your left foot which, by your own admission, had been painful for the preceding seven months. On 24 October 2008, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) granted you a convalescent rating of 100% for the fracture from 2 August to 31 November 2007, and a 0% rating from 1 December 2007. The VA assigned those ratings after after intentionally disregarding your statement concerning your pre-service history of foot pain.

As you have not demonstrated that your foot condition was incurred in or aggravated by your brief period of naval service, the Board was unable to recommend favorable action on your request. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEAFTER