



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TJR  
Docket No: 2020-09  
11 March 2010

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 March 2010. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


Although your record is incomplete, it appears that you enlisted in the Navy on 10 January 1975 at age 19 and served without disciplinary incident. Subsequently, you were processed for an administrative separation by reason of convenience of the government. The discharge authority directed discharge under honorable conditions, and on 3 November 1975, while serving in paygrade E-2, you were issued a general discharge and were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

The Board, in its review of your record, again although incomplete, and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and desire to upgrade your discharge and change the narrative reason for separation for medical purposes. It also considered the medical documentation provided in support of your case. Nevertheless, the Board

concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge or a change in the narrative reason for separation. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director