

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
, 2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JRE
Docket No. 12022-08
12 January 2009





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 8 January 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 17 February 1982. You underwent a pre-separation physical examination on 3 October 1984 and were found qualified for separation. There is no indication in your record that you were suffering from a significant mental disorder at that time. You were discharged by reason of misconduct on 2 November 1984 based on your extensive disciplinary record, which included five instances fof , nonjudicial punishment for multiple offenses.

As you failed to demonstrate that you were unfit for duty by reason of physical disability and that your discharge by reason of misconduct is erroneous or unjust, there is not basis for any corrective action in your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN

Acting Executive Director