

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 10438-08 21 January 2009



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 December 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 13 May 2004. You received at least one nonjudicial punishment for failure to obey a lawful order. Although the discharge processing documents are not in your record, it appears that your commanding officer recommended that you be separated with a general discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. On 27 April 2006, you were separated from the Navy with a general discharge by reason of misconduct and were assigned a reentry code of RE-4.

Applicable regulations require the assignment of an RE-4 reentry code when a Sailor is discharged by reason of misconduct. Since you have been treated no differently than others in your situation, the Board could not find an error or injustice in the assignment of your reentry code. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a

presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEI

Executive Din