



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN  
Docket No: 07695-08  
22 June 2009



Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 June 2009. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 23 July 1999, and served without disciplinary incident until 12 September 2002, when you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA). However, prior to your NJP, you received numerous adverse counseling statements for tardiness, poor grooming standards, and your lack of professional conduct.

Shortly thereafter, on 3 December 2002, you received another NJP for UA. Therefore, you were recommended for separation with a general discharge due to a pattern of misconduct. The separation authority approved the recommendation and on 1 January 2003, you were separated with a general discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code.


The Board, in its review of your entire record and application,

carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and belief that enough time has elapsed to warrant upgrading your discharge. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant a change to your reenlistment code because of your pattern of misconduct. Further, there is no provision in the law or regulations that allows for a change to your reenlistment code due solely to the passage of time. Furthermore, the Board believed that you were fortunate to have received a general discharge. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director