




DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 01321-08
11 September 2008



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 10 September 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 2 March 1982 and served without disciplinary incident until 17 June 1982, when you received a nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for an unauthorized absence (UA).

Shortly thereafter, you were charged and found guilty at the following NJP: on 14 June 1984, disrespect toward a petty officer where you received a suspended punishment. On 16 August 1984, your punishment was vacated due to further misconduct.

On 3 November 1984, you were convicted at a special court-martial for UA, disrespect toward a petty officer, resisting arrest, communicating a threat, incapacitated for duty due to alcohol abuse, and drunk and disorderly. Based on your pattern of


misconduct, your commanding officer recommended you for an other than honorable (OTH) discharge and an RE-4 reenlistment code. On 6 December 1984, the separation authority approved this request and directed an OTH discharge with an RE-4 reenlistment code, and on 18 December 1984, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director