



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

BAN
Docket No: 11056-07
6 August 2008

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 August 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Navy on 27 September 1982 and served without disciplinary incident until 2 May 1984, when you received a nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for unauthorized absence (UA).

Shortly thereafter, you received another NJP on 21 September 1984 and again on 5 Feb 1985 for UA. On 20 September 1985, you were found guilty at a special court-martial (SPCM) for charges of UA (deserter) and disrespect to a commissioned officer. On 4 December 1985, you were sent to an administrative separation board which unanimously found you had committed misconduct. You were recommended for separation with an other than honorable (OTH) discharge. On 9 January 1986, the discharge authority approved these recommendations and directed an OTH discharge, and on 24 January 1985, you were so discharged.


The Board, in its review of your entire record and application,

carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, post service conduct, and the passage of time. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge because of the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director