


DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

SMW

Docket No: 10123-07





This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 25 June 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

On 1 March 1982, you enlisted in the Navy at age 19. On 14 December 1983, you had nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for use of marijuana and you subsequently referred yourself to alcohol abuse counseling. On 19 December 1983, you were counseled regarding deficiencies in your performance and conduct and warned that further infractions could result in disciplinary action or an other than honorable (OTH) discharge. On 12 April 1984, you were convicted in civil court of reckless driving and fleeing or attempting to elude police. On 30 May 1984, you were counseled regarding deficiencies in your performance and conduct and warned that further infractions could result in an OTH discharge. On 12 July 1984, you had NJP for willful disobedience of a lawful order, disrespect, use of provoking speech or gestures, and communicating a threat.

Based on the information currently contained in the record, on 12 July 1984, your commanding officer initiated administrative

separation by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. It appears that in connection with this processing, you acknowledged that separation could result in an OTH discharge and waived the right to have your case heard by an administrative discharge board (ADB). On 21 July 1984, the separation authority approved the discharge recommendation and directed an OTH discharge by reason of misconduct due to a pattern of misconduct. On 6 August 1984, you were so discharged.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potential mitigation, such as your youth and desire for a better discharge. The Board also considered your contention that personal problems may have attributed to your misconduct. Nevertheless, the Board concluded that these factors and contention were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge due to the seriousness of your misconduct that continued after you were repeatedly warned that further infractions could result in an OTH discharge. Furthermore, personal problems do not excuse misconduct. Board also noted that you waived the right to have your case heard by an ADB, your best opportunity for retention or a more favorable characterization of service. Therefore, the Board concluded that the discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

Executive Di