



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS  
Docket No: 9540-07  
23 June 2008

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 June 2008. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 11 August 1986. You received nonjudicial punishment for an unauthorized absence and were convicted by special court-martial of dereliction of duty.


On 20 December 1989 you submitted a written request for discharge for the good of the service in lieu of trial by court-martial for being absent in desertion from 6 May 1988 to 6 December 1989. Prior to submitting this request you conferred with a qualified military lawyer who advised you of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of receiving a discharge under other than honorable conditions. Your request for discharge was approved by the separation authority, and you were discharged under other than honorable conditions on 26 January 1990.

The Board concluded that your service was properly characterized as under other than honorable conditions, given the serious nature of your misconduct and the absence of significant matters in extenuation or mitigation of your offense. The Board believes that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request

for discharge was granted, as you avoided the possibility of being confined at hard labor and receiving a punitive discharge. You received the benefit of your bargain and should not be permitted to change it now. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

  
W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director