

,

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

> FC Docket No: 10396-02 12 May 2003



This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 April 2003. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 6 June 2000 at age 18. On 12 July 2000 you were diagnosed with a depressive disorder, and recommended for discharge. In this regard, the examining psychologist noted a persistently depressed mood, insomnia and fatigue, feelings of guilt and diminished concentration.

On 26 July 2000, you were advised of administrative separation processing and waived all your procedural rights. On 27 July 2000, the commanding officer approved your separation and on 1 August 2000, you were so discharged by reason of convenience of the government due to the diagnosed depressive disorder. At that time, you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4.

Applicable regulations authorize the assignment of an RE-4 reenlistment code when an individual is discharged due to a depressive disorder. Given the diagnosed depressive disorder and its manifestations, the Board concluded that the RE-4 reenlistment code was properly and appropriately assigned.

Accordingly, your request has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director