

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

# BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

### 2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100 WMP

Docket No. 10108-02 22 May 2003

Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records From:

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

(2) Case Summary

(3) Subject's naval record

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the Navy, applied to this Board requesting, in effect, that his discharge be upgraded.
- The Board, consisting of Messrs. Morgan, Agresti, and Roberts, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 21 May 2003 and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Although it appears that Petitioner's application to the Board was not filed in a timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waiver the statute of limitations and review the application on its merits.
- c. Petitioner enlisted in the Naval Reserve on 27 January 1947 at age 20, but was not called to active duty during his period of service. At that time of his enlistment, he had completed 12 years of education.
- d. On 16 January 1950 U.S. Air Force recruiting personnel requested Petitioner's discharge from the Navy to allow for his enlistment in the U.S. Air Force, which was approved. Accordingly, on 16 January 1950, he received a general discharge

from the Naval service to allow for his enlistment in the U.S. Air Force. It was Navy policy at that time to issue a general discharge to service members who did not complete their enlistments.

## CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record the Board now finds the existence of an injustice warranting corrective action. The Board concludes that although Petitioner was discharged correctly based on regulations, which were in effect at that time, under current standards he would be eligible for an honorable discharge. Accordingly, the Board believes that the general discharge should be changed to an honorable discharge.

## RECOMMENDATION:

- a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected by changing the record to show that he was issued an honorable discharge on 16 January 1950 vice the general discharge actually issued on that date.
- b. That any material or entries inconsistent with or relating to the Board's recommendation be corrected, removed or completely expunged from Petitioner's record and that no such entries or material be added to the record in the future.
- c. That any material directed to be removed from Petitioner's naval record be returned to the Board, together with a copy of this Report of Proceedings, for retention in a confidential file maintained for such purpose, with no cross reference being made a part of Petitioner's naval record.
- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder ALAN E. GOLDSMITH Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e))

and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

W. DEAN PF

Executive Direct