

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 7356-01 31 January 2002

Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 January 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 28 June 1983 at age 18. On 13 September 1983 a recruit evaluation report recommended your separation based on several academic failures. Subsequently, on 21 September 1983 you received an entry level separation by reason of entry level performance and conduct. At that time you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4.

The Board noted that an RE-4 reenlistment code is required by regulatory guidance for individuals who are separated by reason of entry level conduct and performance. The Board thus concluded that there is no error or injustice in your reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY are inption of regularity attaches of  $Q_A \neq A$  is efficient records. Insequently, when applying  $f_{QK_V} a_A \otimes f_{A} \neq A$  demonstrate the d, the burden is on the applicant sto demonstrate the stence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director