

## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj Docket No: 5886-02 17 September 2002

مىتىمەرلىكى ئىلىرى مىتىمەرلىكى ئىلىرى		and the second line of the second s	finite day of the second s
the second second	and the other of the statement of the		and the second

Dear		ALC: 10.		
	- maniferry states and	Male Antonio	and startes	

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 17 September 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by NPC memorandum 1160 Ser 811/370 of 29 August 2002, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director



## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY NAVY PERSONNEL COMMAND

5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

1160 Ser 811/**370** 29 Aug 2002

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

Via: PERS-00ZCB

- Subj: BCNR PETITION ICO
- Ref: (a) SNM's DD Form 149 dtd 20 Jun 02 (b) NAVADMIN 032/02

Encl: (1) BCNR File

1. In response to reference (a), recommend disapproval of the petitioner's request.

a. The petitioner reenlisted on 27 February 2002 for two years. The petitioner signed a NAVPERS 1070/621 extension for 18 months on 09 March 2002 to accept BUPERS order 3341 to NAVCRUITRACOM GREAT LAKES, IL (UIC 41455).

b. Reference (b) released 11 February 2002 implemented the Location Selective Reenlistment Bonus (LSRB) for service members that receive orders to designated locations. Reference (b) listed five UIC's for the GREAT LAKES command, unfortunately UIC 41455 was not listed.

c. The petitioner's Enlisted Master File (EMF) indicates that his gaining command is under UIC 41455.

2. In view of the above, recommend the member's record remain as is.

3. This is an advisory memorandum to reference (a) for use by the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) only. Enclosure (1) is returned.



Reenlistment Incentives Branch