



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS  
Docket No: 1960-02  
8 May 2002

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 1 May 2002. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that on 8 December 1999 you enlisted in the advanced pay grade program of the Naval Reserve. Having no prior military service, on 11 May 2000 you were sent to a two day military training course. However, you refused to train and complete the required courses.

The commanding officer then recommended that you be separated with an honorable discharge by reason of unsatisfactory participation. When informed of this recommendation, you elected to waive the right to submit a statement in response to the discharge action. After review by the discharge authority, the recommendation for separation was approved and you were honorably discharged on 17 May 2000. At that time, you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4.

As a reservist you were incorrectly given a reenlistment code. However, you were not recommended for reenlistment. Such a recommendation was appropriate for a reservist separated by reason of unsatisfactory participation. The Board considered

your contention that you had a personal problem when you were sent to training, but concluded that no change to the record is necessary. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director