

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 673-02 24 September 2002

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records

To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD OF

Ref: (a) 10 U.S.C. 1552

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments

(2) Case Summary

(3) Subject's naval record

- 1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Petitioner, a former enlisted member of the Navy, filed enclosure (1) with this Board requesting, in effect, that his naval record be corrected to show a more favorable type of discharge than the bad conduct discharge issued on 13 June 1951.
- 2. The Board, consisting of Mr. Pfeiffer, Ms. Gilbert, and Mr. Shy, reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 18 September 2002, and, pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the englosures, naval records, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.
- 3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice finds as follows:
- a. Before applying to this Board, Petitioner exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy.
- b. Although it appears that enclosure (1) was not filed in timely manner, it is in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and review the application on its merits.
- c. Petitioner reenlisted in the Navy on 16 August 1949 after more than three years of prior active service.
- d. The record reflects that he received three nonjudicial punishments and was convicted by a summary court-martial. The offenses included unauthorized absences totalling 17 days.
 - e. A second summary court-martial convened on 17 February 1951

and found Petitioner guilty of an unauthorized absence of 19 days. The court sentenced him to forfeitures of \$50 per month for five months, extra duty for 30 days, and a bad conduct discharge. He received the bad conduct discharge on 13 June 1951.

CONCLUSION:

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board concludes that Petitioner's request warrants favorable action. In this regard, the Board finds that Petitioner's infractions were relatively minor in nature and questions whether they warranted such a severe characterization of service. The Board also notes Petitioner's prior honorable service during wartime and believes Petitioner has now been sufficiently punished and that no useful purpose is served by continuing to characterize his service with a bad conduct discharge. Based on the foregoing, the Board concludes that the discharge should be recharacterized to general.

In view of the foregoing, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action.

RECOMMENDATION:

- a. That Petitioner's naval record be corrected to show that he was issued a general discharge by reason of misconduct on 13 June 1951 vice the bad conduct discharge on that date.
- b. That a copy of this Report of Proceedings be filed in Petitioner's naval record.
- c. That, upon request, the Veterans Administration be informed that Petitioner's application was received by the Board on 28 January 2002.
- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above entitled matter.

ROBERT D. ZSALMAN Recorder

Acting Recorder

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)) and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of reference (a), has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

W. DEAN PER

Executive