



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj  
Docket No: 7570-00  
20 March 2001

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 20 March 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1040 MPP-25 of 21 February 2001, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. At the time of your 19 August 2000 reenlistment there was no zone "A" multiple for Military Occupational Specialty (MOS) 0311. Although you were erroneously told you would receive a bonus, the Board cannot authorize payment of an entitlement that did not exist. In this connection, the Board disagrees with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board.

Docket No. 7570-00

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS  
3280 RUSSELL ROAD  
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:

1040  
MPP-25  
21 Feb 01

MEMORANDUM FOR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR APPLICATION IN THE CASE OF [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

1. Upon review of the subject route sheet we submit the following comments:

a. There was not a multiple in effect at the time of CPL Arnold's reenlistment.

b. The Marine Corps depends on the word of Marines charged with the execution of the first term alignment plan. Without this trust, our retention efforts would soon erode dramatically.

2. Recommend Corporal [REDACTED] be paid an SRB multiple of one that was promised by MMEA 6 and was included in his reenlistment contract. Recommend this payment be made using the payment method of 50% up front with the remaining 50% being paid in three equal installments on the anniversary of his reenlistment. This is the payment method that was in effect at the time of Corporal [REDACTED] reenlistment.

3. MPP calculates Corporal [REDACTED] base pay at time of reenlistment (CPL over 3 YOS) to be \$1,447.20 and that he was eligible for 47 months of SRB at time of reenlistment (4 years minus one month left until his EAS). This equates to \$5,668.20. However, recommend SRB calculation be computed by Marine's career planner and approved by DFAS Kansas City to ensure accuracy of above payment calculation.

4. POC in this case is Maj. [REDACTED] MPP-25, (703) 784-9361.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Head, Manpower Plans Programs and  
Budget Branch