



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:ddj  
Docket No: 7312-99  
27 June 2000

[REDACTED]

Dear STAFF SERGEANT [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 27 June 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by CMC memorandum 1001/1 MMEA-6 of 24 May 2000, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS  
3280 RUSSELL ROAD  
QUANTICO, VIRGINIA 22134-5103

IN REPLY REFER TO:  
1001/1  
MMEA-6  
26 MAY 1999

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF  
NAVAL RECORDS

Subj: BCNR DOCKET NO. 073121-99 CASE OF STAFF SERGEANT [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

1. We have reviewed Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] case and recommend his request for a contract modification and subsequent entitlement to a Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB) be denied.
2. Staff Sergeant Bethard executed reenlistment authority on 1 January 1999. The Marine Corps announced on 25 January 1999, via MARADMIN 024/99, the SRB that Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] is contending.
3. Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] argues he was not informed of the upcoming SRB. Although this may be factual, Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] executed his reenlistment authority almost three weeks prior to the publication of the SRB message. In accordance with policies governing SRB bonuses, reenlistment contracts are not modified for the sole reason of SRB eligibility. Thus, he was not eligible for a SRB, Zone B multiple of (3) in primary military occupational specialty (PMOS) 4067 when he reenlisted.
4. Point of contact is Staff Sergeant [REDACTED] DSN 278-9235.

[REDACTED]  
LIEUTENANT COLONEL  
ASSISTANT HEAD, ENLISTED ASSIGNMENT BRANCH