

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 2 NAVY ANNEX WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

> TRG Docket No: 6415-99 8 June 2000



Dear de la company

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 June 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Marine Corps on 8 November 1974 at age 18. On 20 May 1975 you received nonjudicial punishment for three periods of unauthorized absence totaling about 29 days.

On 24 May 1975 you began another period of unauthorized absence which lasted until you were apprehended on 5 January 1976, a period of about 227 days. Your military record shows that on 13 January 1976 you submitted a written request for an undesirable discharge in order to avoid trial by court-martial for this period of unauthorized absence. Your record also shows that prior to submitting this request you conferred with a qualified military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. The Board found that your request was granted on 2 February 1976 and, as a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor. You were discharged on 13 February 1976.

In its review of your application the Board carefully weighed all

potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, low score on the aptitude test and the evidence you submitted showing that you have been a good citizen for many years. The Board also considered your contention that your unauthorized absences were caused by the need to care for your mother and your younger The Board found that these factors were brothers and sisters. not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your record of misconduct and especially your request for discharge to avoid trial for a lengthy period of unauthorized absence. There is no documentation in the record, and you have submitted none, concerning a family hardship. However, the Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved since, by this action, you escaped the possibility of confinement at hard labor and a punitive discharge. Further, the Board concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain when your request for discharge was granted and you should not be permitted to change it now. The Board concluded that your discharge was proper as issued and no change is warranted.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director