



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

JLP:ddj
Docket No: 6905-98
30 March 1999

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 30 March 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by BUPERS 7220 Ser N130C3/034-99 11 March 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO


7220

Ser N130C3/034-99

11 Mar 99

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BOARD FOR CORRECTION
OF NAVAL RECORDS


Via: Assistant for BCNR Matters, Pers-00XCB

Subj: REQUEST FOR COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ICO


Ref: (a) MILPERSMAN 2650180
(b) DODFMR, Volume 7A

Encl: (1) BCNR Case File #06905-98 w/Microfiche Service
Record

1. Per your request, the following recommendation concerning enclosure (1) is provided.
2. The petitioner reenlisted on 10Sep98, more than 90 days away from his old EAOS (13Mar99), and did not qualify to sell back accrued leave IAW reference (a).
3. A review of enclosure (1), revealed that the petitioner agreed to extend his old EAOS (13Dec97) for 15 months to match his projected rotation date (PRD) of 13Mar99. The member, however, reenlisted for 2 years on 10Sep98 (more than 90 days away from his EAOS) and did not qualify to sell back accrued leave IAW references (a) and (b).
4. Enclosure (1) does not contain evidence to support the petitioner's claim that an administrative oversight resulted in his disqualification to sell back accrued leave during his reenlistment on 10Sep98. N130C does not recommend approval of the petitioner's request to sell back 30 days accrued leave.


Assistant Head, Pay and
Allowances Section (N130C)