



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:mh
Docket No: 2619-99
2 June 1999

GSEL [REDACTED] USN
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 June 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by BUPERS memorandum 1160 NPC-815 of 1 December 1998, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. You are requesting your reenlistment of 5 June 1998 be expunged and we effect a reenlistment on 7 June 1998 for a zone "C" Selective Reenlistment Bonus (SRB). However, this would give you a break in service. By expunging the reenlistment your EAOS would revert to 5 June 1998, giving you a 2 day break in service before reenlisting on the 7th. Paragraph 2 of the advisory opinion recommended the reenlistment of 5 June 1998 be expunged, you extend for 4 months and reenlist on 2 October 1998 for 6 years. This would entitle you to a zone "C" SRB. You do not concur with this recommendation. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board.

Docket No. 2619-99

In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director