



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL  
5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE  
MILLINGTON TN 38055-0000

IN REPLY REFER TO

1160  
PERS-815  
09 Jun 99

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
Via: PERS-00ZCB

Subj: BCNR PETITION [REDACTED]

Ref: (a) SNM's DD Form 149 dtd 01 Oct 98  
(b) OPNAVINST 1160.6A

Encl: (1) BCNR File

1. In response to reference (a), recommend disapproval of petitioner's request.

- Petitioner reenlisted on 15 Aug 1998 for 6 years and received 64 months of zone "B" SRB entitlement for the EW(0000) rate. Petitioner's EAOS at the time was 10 Sep 1998 with an SEAOS of 10 Feb 1999.

- Petitioner requests to receive 2 additional months of SRB entitlement because the 2 month extension became operative on 10 Jul 1998.

- Petitioner signed the 2 month extension on 10 Jul 1998. Petitioner's EAOS at the time was 29 Aug 1998. Petitioner reenlisted prior to the extension being operative. Extensions do not become operative until the expiration of the hard EAOS. Per reference (b), the SRB was not miscalculated.

2. In view of the above, recommend petitioner's record remain as is.

3. This is an advisory memorandum to reference (a) for the use by the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR) only. Enclosure (1) is returned.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. R. Christy".

S. R. CHRISTY  
Head,  
Reenlistment Incentives Branch



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS

2 NAVY ANNEX

WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

MEH:tj

Docket No: 7846-98

7 July 1999

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 July 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion furnished by BUPERS Memorandum 1160 PERS-815 of 9 June 1999, a copy of which is attached.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director

Enclosure