| NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) | | | | | | | | | GRADE | | | AF | AFSN/SSAN | | |
|---|------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|--|
| TYPE GEN X | | | PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | | | | | · T 1 | RECORD R | EVIEW | | | |
| | No. | | NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION | | | | | | ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL | | | | | | |
| | X | | | | | | | | | | | MURININE. | | | |
| MEMBER SITTING | | | | | | | | HON | GEN | UOTHC | OTHER | DENY | | | |
| | | 1 | | - Company | CONSESSABLE AN | COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PART | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | | | | And the latest the second | | | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| - | | | | PCPercebon Parameters. | Reply-auditory (spire) and the property of the party of t | erete de georgeache au lagge | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| _ | | Town of the second | addition of the state of the st | Make company | Medical Control of the Control | | | , | | | | | | X*+ | |
| | vanish | | adhcare y | - Arrandomental | C. Transporter and Mills | (T) 'pulpello case. | | | | | | | | X*+ | |
| ISSUES | A93. | 17 | | INDEX | NUMBER | A62 | 2.00 | | 2 /s. | 19 4 14 1 | EXHIBITS SU | BMTTTED TO | THEBOARD | I | |
| A92.21 | | | | | | | | | 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1- | | LETTER OF NOTIFICATION BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD | | | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | | | ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | TAPE RECO | E RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING | | | | | |
| HEARING DATE CASE NUMBER | | | | | | | | | | | | | •" | | |
| 18 Se | p 2012 | | | | 010-00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ATTE BUSIC | SSERVICENCE | ACHED AIR FORCE | DISCHIN | KOE KEVIEK 180 | ARD DECISIONAL | RATIONALE. | STEEL BOTH THE | ore for | |
| Case | heard vi | a vid | eo teleco | nferenc | e betwe | een Rol | bins AFB, (| GA and And | rews | AFB, MD |). | | | | |
| Advis | e applic | ant o | f the deci | ision of | the Bo | ard and | I the right to | o submit an | appli | cation to t | he AFBCM | IR. | | | |
| Name | s and vo | otes v | vill be ma | ade avai | lable to | o the ap | plicant at th | he applicant | 's rec | luest. | | | | | |
| +Narr *RE (| ative Re Code | eason | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| TO: | | | = | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | |
| | 550 C | AF/MRBR 50 C STREET WEST, SU ANDOLPH AFB, TX 781 | | | | | | | | 1535 COMMANI ANDREWS AFB | D DR, EE WING, 31 5, MD 20762-7001 | RD FLOOR | ICIL | | |
| AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00 | | | | | | | | (EF-V2) | | | | | Pre | vious | |

CASE NUMBER

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00298

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), with counsel, via video teleconference between Andrews AFB, Maryland, and Robins AFB, Georgia, on 18 Sep 2012

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, change of reason and authority for discharge, and change of reenlistment code.

ISSUE:

Issue 1. Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he believes he deserves a second chance to finish what he started and he now lives by the "integrity first" motto. The record indicates the applicant was discharged following his admission that he had smoked marijuana prior to entering the Air Force and concealed that information on his entrance documents. He testified that he wanted to enter the Air Force as quickly as possible and his recruiter told him just to answer "no" to all the questions and there would be no problem when enlisting. After review of the record, the Board found no evidence to indicate that the applicant did not know right from wrong when he signed the numerous military entrance documents, signing or indicating that he had never used marijuana or illegal drugs. The Board found the negative aspects of this willful misconduct outweighed the positive aspects of the applicant's performance and concluded that the discharge was appropriate.

Issue 2. The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well and has a good job. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief