				AIR FORCE DISCHARGE F	REVIEW BOA	RE) HEARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME	VIC	E MEMBER (LA	AST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)		GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN			
TYPE GEN X			PER	SONAL APPEARANCE		RECORD REVIEW						
A	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION			ND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL						
X QUEEN			QUEEN BAK	ŒR		American Legion 1608 K Street Nw Washington DC 20006						
		N	MEMBER SITTING			HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY		
							X				*+	
							X				*+	
											X*+	
											X*+	
			Western .				X				*+	
ISSUES	A01	.43		INDEX NUMBER A84.00			Policina de Sancia de Paris de	NG10018885	MAKAMPANAN PARAMPANAN	residence.	34.80	
	A92.					1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
A02.12						2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE						
					3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 DRIFTER OF DEDSONNEL BILE							
						4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
						ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF						
						PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE				CASE NUMBER		+						
19 Ju	n 2012			FD-2010-00278								
APPLIC	ant sasso	E AT	EF THIS BOARD'S DE	 ISONAL RATIONAL PARE DISCUSSION THE ALL	ACHED AIRTORGED	SCHA	ROE PENEW BO	MAD DECISIONAD	RATIONALE "	Tet Tent	and the second	
Case heard in Washington, D.C.												
Advis	se appli	cai	nt of the deci	sion of the Board and the right to	o submit an a	ppli	ication to	the AFBCM	ſR.			
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.												
*Narrative Reason and Authority +RE Code												
ł										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
										·		
Particular September 1	_	-		A THEODORSHAMES AND THE	en green van dit gebruik		a operation		ALEXA DIVERSI	H2F TF TT		
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742					FROM:		AIR FORCE DI 1535 COMMAN	RETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR REWS AFB, MD 20762-7001				

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00278

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), with counsel, at Andrews AFB on 19 Jun 2012.

The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing:

Exhibit # 5: Applicant's Contentions

Exhibit # 6: Discharge Notification Receipt

Exhibit #7: Inspector General Response Letter Exhibit #8: Administrative Discharge Letter

Exhibit #9: Discharge Notification Letter

Exhibit #10: American Legion Statement

Exhibit #11: College Transcripts

Exhibit #12: Community Service Certificate

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board grants the upgrade of the discharge. The request to change the reason and authority for discharge and to change of reenlistment code are denied.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Unsatisfactory Participation

Applicant contends discharge was improper because she was not given the opportunity to appear before an administrative discharge board. On March 15, 2009, the applicant's unit forwarded her a Letter of Notification of Action under AFI 36-3209, Separation and Retirement Procedures for Air National Guard and Air Force Reserve Members, to notify her of the discharge action. The letter was forwarded to her address of record. On March 23, 2009 the certified copy of the Letter of Notification was received and accepted at the applicant's address of record. The applicant stated she did not receive the notification until the end of April 2009 and acknowledged receipt on May 3, 2009. However, IAW AFI 36-3209, paragraph 4.6 the unit, within their right, assumed that her failure to respond within fifteen days of receipt constituted a waiver of the rights. The unit issued discharge on April 29, 2012 with an effective date of May 1, 2012. The Board found that the Letter of Notification, regardless of when it was received, was incorrectly done and did not notify the applicant of her right to appear before an administrative discharge board. The Board found this to be sufficient mitigation and extenuation to substantiate an upgrade of the discharge to honorable.

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh based on her overall performance during her 12 years of service. Additionally, on her DD Form 293, Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States, she contended that she always had 100 percent satisfactory participation during her military career. Upon review of the record, the Board was unable to find any documentation regarding her duty performance. Due to lack of evidence, the Board relies on the presumption of regularity and concluded that her command appropriately considered this information at the time of her discharge. The Letter of Notification for discharge states the applicant accumulated ten unexcused absences from UTAs during a twelve month period. Although the applicant originally contended she had 100 percent participation, during the course of hearing she testified that she missed nine of the ten UTAs cited in the discharge notification. IAW AFI 36-3209, paragraph 3.13.2, nine absences in a twelve month period is grounds to initiate discharge. Based on these finding the Board denies the request for the

change to the reason and authority for discharge and the change of the reenlistment code.										
CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was inconsistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and finds the applicant was not provided full administrative due process.										
In view of the foregoing findings, the Board concludes that the overall quality of applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an Honorable discharge under the provisions of Title 10, USC 1553.										
Attachment: Examiner's Brief										
	,									
	•									