AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD										
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE AF			AFSN/S	FSN/SSAN			
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X RECORD REVIEW							
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION  YES No			ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
MEMBER SITTING			4.20	HON	GEN	UOTI	нс	OTHER	DENY	
ì									X	
_										
· · <del>-</del>									X	
									X	
-									X	
<del>-</del>									X	
ISSUES A92.35 INDEX NUMBER A66.00										
A92.21	A00.00		1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD							
A92.01			2							
			3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE							
				COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD						
			ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
			TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						ARING	
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER									
24 May 2012	FD-2010-00251									
	eriokorus ovani opakanska sistemata producti Producti	(erini tina) (en j.)			(0.0) (0.5 (0.0))	8-85(Q)(.5)				
Case heard in Washington, D.C.										
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.										
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.										
							<del>-</del>			
	<b>.</b>	LEDOM								
SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SU RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78		FROM:		AIR FORCE DI 1535 COMMAN	DF THE AIR FORCE ISCHARGE REVIEV ID DR, EE WING, 31 B, MD 20762-7001	W BOARD		TIL		

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00251

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

**ISSUE**: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct – Drug Abuse

Applicant contends discharge was in equitable because it was based on an isolated incident. Additionally, he believes that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The record indicates the applicant was tried under a special court martial, pled guilty and was convicted of the use of ecstasy and cocaine. After review of the record, the Board found no evidence to indicate that the applicant did not know right from wrong or that in his two years and nine months of service was unaware of the Air Force policy of zero tolerance to drug use. The Board found the negative aspects of the willful misconduct outweighed the positive aspects of the applicant's performance and concluded that the discharge was appropriate.

The DRB was pleased to see that the applicant was doing well and enrolled in college. However, no inequity or impropriety in his discharge was suggested or found in the course of the hearing. The Board concluded the misconduct of the applicant appropriately characterized his term of service.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief