

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE		AFSN/SSAN															
[REDACTED]					[REDACTED]															
TYPE GEN		PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X		RECORD REVIEW														
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>YES</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>X</td> </tr> </table>		YES	No		X	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL												
YES	No																			
	X																			
MEMBER SITTING				VOTE OF THE BOARD																
				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY												
								X												
								X												
								X												
								X												
ISSUES		INDEX NUMBER		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD																
A01.43 A94.55		A67.00		<table border="1"> <tr><td>1</td><td>ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>LETTER OF NOTIFICATION</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING</td></tr> </table>			1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD	2	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE	3	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION	4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE		COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD		ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING
1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD																			
2	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE																			
3	LETTER OF NOTIFICATION																			
4	BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE																			
	COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD																			
	ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE																			
	TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING																			
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER																		
08 May 2012		FD-2010-00113																		
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p>																				
INDORSEMENT TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				DATE: 5/10/2012 FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001																

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

CASE NUMBER

**FD-2010-00113**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING:** The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

**ISSUE:** Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct

Applicant contends his discharge was inequitable because it was based on an isolated incident. The record indicates the applicant pled guilty and was convicted under a General Court Martial for possession of cocaine, possession of cocaine with intent to distribute and transporting an illegal drug in a government vehicle. Upon review of the record, the Board was unable to find any documentation regarding the discharge. Due to lack of evidence and supporting documentation to explain the discharge, the Board concludes that the misconduct was a significant departure from the conduct expected of all military members. The Board relies on the presumption of regularity and finds the characterization; reason for discharge and the reenlistment code were appropriate.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief