			AIR FORCE DISCHARGE F	REVIEW BOA	RD	HEARIN	G RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)						GRADE			AFSN/SSAN		
TYPE	TYPE GEN X PERSONAL APPEARANCE					RECORD REVIEW					
YES	NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION No X				A	ADDRESS AND	OR ORGANIZATIO	ON OF COUNSEL			
MADIANTO CYCLOROLO							YOU	ie (dat hane ike	ANED.		
			MEMBER SITTING			HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
			<u> </u>							X*+	
i				W. Palent Vani		X*+					
				, <u>.</u>						X*+	
			-			X*+					
						X*+					
ISSUES	ISSUES A92.02 INDEX NUMBER A67.50				TAMBURA STEMPLE OF DEFINITION AND						
					1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
					2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION						
					4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
						COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD					
					ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE						
						TAPE REC	ORDING OF PI	ERSONAL APF	EARANCE HE	EARING	
HEARIN	G DATE		CASE NUMBER								
31 Jan 2012			FD-2010-00072								
APPLE	1987 (V.1 99)	AND	PH ROADS DUCKERN BAHONAL BY THE SHOPS OF	< #100 NB 16001 500;	Te (V)	gers over the spe	redel Statistopa.	404 F4038 (4.)	and the second		
Case	heard in	n Wa	shington, D.C.				N	And the second property and th	and the contraction of the contr	accommunitación i del a distinct chiefada e la baldida	
Advis	e appli	cant	of the decision of the Board.								
	,										
Name	es and v	otes	will be made available to the applicant at the	ie applicant's	req	luest.					
 + CH <i>A</i>	ANGE F	RE CO	DDE								
* CHANGE REASON AND AUTHORITY TO SECRETARIA											
								-			
			TEST								
TO:	nga na magayan. A salah da sananca	negratik Makedik	BU.N.11	FROM:				PACTOR WY ME		4.7	
		MRBE	EET WEST, SUITE 40			SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEI AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD			UNCIL		
			H AFB, TX 78150-4742				D DR, EE WING, 3 B, MD 20762-7001	KD FLOOR			

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00072

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), without counsel, at Andrews AFB on 31 Jan 2012.

The following additional exhibits were submitted at the hearing:

Exhibit #5: Applicant's Contentions

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board grants the requested relief.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for a Pattern of Misconduct – Conduct Prejudicial to Good Order and Discipline

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable in comparison to his overall service. The records indicated the applicant received one Article 15, one Vacation, two Letters of Reprimand, one Record of Individual Counseling and one Memorandum for Record for misconduct. His misconduct included failed to report for contingency training, failed to report on time to place of duty, failed to pay military Star Card (2x), failed to report on time to the gym, left place of duty without authority, failed to follow work instructions to accomplish a task, and violated a profile order. After a thorough and complete consideration of the information submitted by the applicant, the applicant's compelling testimony, and the information contained in the record the Board found the Vacation action received by the applicant on Jun 7, 2007 to be improper,. The Vacation was based on the applicant failing to refrain from moving a bridge on or about April 16, 2007 while on a restrictive medical profile. The applicant received a medical profile on April 10, 2007 with an expiration of May 8, 2007. The only restrictions noted on the profile were that the applicant could not engage in sit-ups. The Board opined that the Vacation action was what prompted the commander to initiate discharge. Although the Board did not condone the applicant's behavior, they concluded the misconduct was minor in nature compared to his overall service and he should have been given the opportunity to rehabilitate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was inconsistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and finds the applicant was not provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board concludes that the overall quality of applicant's service is more accurately reflected by an Honorable discharge, the reason for the discharge is more accurately described as Secretarial Authority and the reenlistment code changed to 3K under the provisions of Title 10, USC 1553.

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief