			AIR FORCE DISCHARGE	REVIEW BOA	RD	HEARI	NG RECOR	D				
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE			AFSN/SSAN				
								1				
TYPE GEN X PERSONAL APPEARANCE						RECORD REVIEW						
			 DF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION		ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
YES	No	]										
	X										74.47	
	MEMBER SITTING											
			WENDER STITING			HON	GEN	UOTI	HC	OTHER	DENY	
											X*+	
ŀ											X*+	
										**************************************	X*+	
											X*+	
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						X*+	
ISSUES	A01		INDEX NUMBER A66.00						<b>经营销基金</b>	ng padaka)		
	A93 A94				2		PPOINTING THE			ARCE		
	AJT	•55				·	F NOTIFICATI		ысп	AKOE		
					4	BRIEF OF	PERSONNEL F	ILE				
						1	'S RELEASE T	_		T TO 45 OF		
						1	IAL EXHIBITS L APPEARANC		ED A	I TIME OF		
			·			TAPE REC	ORDING OF P	ERSONAL	APP	EARANCE HE	ARING	
HEARING	DATE		CASE NUMBER									
21 Jun	2012		FD-2009-00730									
* PRPPIRG/	CAT'S ISSE	емоти	EBUARDIS DECISION APRATIONALE ARE DISCUSSED OF THE A	FLACHED AIR FORCE DISC	HAI	CEREVIEW IS	DARD DECISIONAL	RATIONALI	Market 1	Andre 1968 ALL SACTOR	and American Actor	
Case h	eard in	n Wasl	nington, D.C.	MARKET TO THE PARTY OF THE PART					·i			
Advise	e appli	cant of	f the decision of the Board and the right	nt to submit an a	pp]	lication to	the AFBC	MR.				
Names	s and v	otes w	rill be made available to the applicant at	the applicant's	req	luest.						
*Narra		eason										
+RE C	oae											
											;	
			(653)								10 M	
TO:	550 C		T WEST, SUITE 40 AFB, TX 78150-4742	FROM:		AIR FORCE DI 1535 COMMAN	OF THE AIR FORC ISCHARGE REVIE ND DR, EE WING, 3 B, MD 20762-7001	W BOARD	EL COI	UNCIL		

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00730

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) without counsel at Andrews AFB on 21 Jun 2012. The following witness also testified on the applicant's behalf: Ms. Lattice Wilson, applicant's girlfriend.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING**: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge, the change of reason and authority for discharge and, the change of reenlistment code.

The Board finds that neither the evidence of record nor that provided by the applicant substantiates an inequity or impropriety that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Misconduct – Drug Abuse

Applicant contends his discharge for drug abuse based on use of Spice was improper because no policy or law had been legally documented or established in the Uniformed Code of Military Justice (UCMJ). He contends use of this substance was legal at the time and he quit using it after the 13 April 2009 General Order prohibiting its use was issued. The record indicates Spice, Dream and Spike 99 were discovered during a dorm room inspection on 30 Mar 2009. Further investigation determined the substances belonged to the applicant, who admitted during the investigation that he was a frequent user of these herbs, rolling them into blunts and smoking them five to six times a week. Applicant contends he was misquoted in the investigative report which specifically states applicant described the difference between the three substances as "Dream would give him a better high than Spike 99 or Spice." Applicant received a Letter of Reprimand (LOR) for possession and misuse of the herbal incenses Dream, Spice, and Spike 99 for euphoric effects and was subsequently discharged. During his testimony before the Board, applicant stated that he was talking in generalities about the substances during the investigation and contends he never told the investigators he was using these products to get high. In his testimony, he described the effects of the products similar to smoking a Newport and described Spice as providing a longer "boost."

The fact Spice was not specifically listed as a prohibited substance until June 2010 does not exclude its use from being a basis for discharge per AFI 36-3208, paragraph 5.54, which states, "Drug abuse is incompatible with military service and airmen who abuse drugs one or more times are subject to discharge for misconduct." Paragraph 5.54.1 defines drug abuse broadly to include "illegal, wrongful, or improper use, possession, sale, transfer, or introduction onto a military installation of any drug." The term "drug" is also defined broadly to include not just illegal or controlled substances, but also "any intoxicating substance, other than alcohol, that is inhaled, injected, consumed, or introduced into the body in any manner for purposes of altering mood or function." The Board did not find the lack of a current general order specifically addressing Spice to automatically make the respondent's LOR and discharge based on drug abuse improper. Even without the presence of such a general order, a commander may reasonably find the evidence to support that an Airman's use of Spice was prejudicial to good order and discipline and punishable under Article 134, UCMJ or a dereliction of the Airman's duty to not use intoxicating substances for the purpose of altering mood or function. The Board found the statement in the investigation valid and determined his admission of frequent use for a "boost" meets the requirement for drug abuse and shows he was not a one-time experimental user.

prohibit use of Spice. The Board found the negative aspects of the willful misconduct outweighed positive aspects of the applicant's performance and concluded that the discharge was appropriate.										
Attachment:						`				
Examiner's Brief										
	,									
	•				·					