		SE REVIEW BOAL		LEANIN	JAECOKI				
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)			GRADE			AFSI	AFSN/SSAN		
			AMN			1			
TYPE UOTHC PER	PERSONAL APPEARANCE		X	R	RECORD REVIEW				
YES No X		ADI	and the party of t	R ORGANIZATIO					
MEMBER SITTING				HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
				HON	GEN	COTHC	OTHER		
								X	
								X	
								X	
	: !							X	
								X	
ISSUES A94.05 INDEX NUMBER A67.50									
		-	1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD						
				2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE 3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION					
			4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE						
			COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING						
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER								
08 May 2012 FD-2009-00266									
CONSULTANT DE BOARDED DE LID GENALIDA (LE SE DIROTSED OCCIDENTALE DA LE ODE LES CANGO DE LE CANGO DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CONTRA DEL CONTRA DEL CONTRA DE LA CO									
Case heard in Washington, D.C.									
Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.									
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.									
									
								9	
	· :								
	SMST								
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742		FROM:	A) 15	IR FORCE DIS 335 COMMAND	THE AIR FORCE CHARGE REVIEV DR, EE WING, 31 MD 20762-7001		UNCIL		

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2009-00266

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant received an Under Other Than Honorable Conditions (UOTHC) discharge for misconduct – A Pattern of Misconduct

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was too harsh. The records indicated the applicant received two Article 15s, one Letter of Reprimand and one Record of Individual Counseling. His misconduct included four months delinquent on Delayed Payment Program account, possession of alcohol while underage, violated military dress code, stole six compact discs from AAFES, conspired with another airman to commit larceny, attempted to assault another airman with a vehicle, and failed to pay just debt. The DRB opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his negative behavior. The Board concluded the applicant's misconduct was a significant departure from conduct expected of all military members. The characterization of the discharge received by the applicant was found to be appropriate.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief