		AIR FORCE DISC	CHARGE R	EVIEW BOA	RD	HEAR	RING	RECOF	RD	-		
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)					GRADE SRA			AFS	AFSN/SSAN			
TYPE GEN PERSONAL APPEARANCE					X RECORD REVIEW							
NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION YES No X					ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL							
			HON		GEN		THE BO	OTHER	DENY			
L .		**************************************									-	X
												X
												х
												X
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												X
A94.53 A92.35	•	INDEX NUMBER A67.	67.10		EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD 1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD							
A92.03 A92.03				\vdash								
					3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION 4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD							
					ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE							
		TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING										
HEARING DATE		CASE NUMBER										
19 Jan 2012	i	FD-2010-00439 ONAL RATIONALE ARE DISCUS	Tanakanen air arben.	alsinorioppinava soli	70124 1027	AND STREET	en da en pr	Sanita and the sanital	\$165.1874×755	NATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.		Andrews State of State of
Case heard in V			Carmin 2 St.	August Augus				使温息	14,5			
	nt of the decision	on of the Board, the	right to a p	ersonal appea	aran	ce witl	h/witl	nout cou	unsel,	and the	e right to s	ubmit an
Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.												
at the second se										an eogerel		eri er sage III
to: SAF/MR	BR			FROM:				HE AIR FOR		ONNEL CO		
550 C ST RANDO		AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001										

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00439

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

The Board finds the applicant submitted no issues contesting the equity or propriety of the discharge, and after a thorough review of the record, the Board was unable to identify any that would justify a change of discharge.

ISSUE: The applicant was discharged with an under honorable conditions (general) discharge for minor disciplinary infractions. He admits that he made poor choices while in the Air Force. The record indicated the applicant received three Letters of Reprimand, one Letter of Counseling, one Letter of Admonishment, Record of Individual Counseling, and an Article 15. His misconduct included misuse of Government computer, violation of no contact order, committing adultery, allowing guest to drink while underage, arrested for drunk in public, law enforcement call to your home for a disturbance, failed to complete duty, disrespect to a NCO, and DUI. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

Applicant states that his discharge did not take into account the good things he did while in the service. The DRB took note of the applicant's duty performance as documented by his performance reports, letters of recommendation and other accomplishments. They found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. The Board concluded the discharge was appropriate for the reasons which were the basis for this case.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief