	AIR FORCE I	DISCHARGE R	EVIEW BOA	RD	HEARI	NG RECOR	D			
NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL)				GRADE			AFS	AFSN/SSAN		
				·					J	
TYPE GEN	PERSONAL APPEARANCE			X	K	RECORD REVIEW				
YES No				A	DDRESS AND	SS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL				
X										
						- y-(()) <u>-i</u>	i dai taat iko	NRO)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
MEMBER SITTING					HON	GEN	UOTHC	OTHER	DENY	
									X	
									X	
				-					Λ	
									X	
									X	
				-					X	
ISSUES A92.35	INDEX NUMBER	. (0.00				A MERICAN TO SALT	क्षांत्रामा गाम्यक्षा मार्के	THEFT RYOLVERD		
A92.35 A93.01 A94.55		A09.00		1	ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD					
				1		PLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE				
				1		FER OF NOTIFICATION FOR PERSONNEL FILE				
						ISEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD				
						IONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF NAL APPEARANCE				
					TAPE REC	E RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING				
HEARING DATE	CASE NUMBER									
26 Jan 2012	FD-2010-00437				er er germane	Id Nasawa a consession III		141 1		
Marie III and All Services (A)	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		casello ever malar a unia	into pe	r Carl autoritarian à	c seed that sold plats	.403.11(\$18.48.51)			
Case heard in Washington	on, D.C.									
Advise applicant of the capplication to the AFBC	lecision of the Board, MR.	the right to a pe	ersonal appea	ıran	ce with/	without cour	nsel, and the	e right to su	ıbmit an	
Names and votes will be	made available to the	applicant at the	e applicant's	reai	nest					
		-FF	- approvers							
								-		
		, į								
	Series Control of the									
TO: SAF/MRBR			FROM:	s	SECRETARY	OF THE AIR FORCE	PERSONNEL COL	INCIL		
550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL CO AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001						
AFHQ FORM 0-2077, JAN 00			(EF-V2)				·-··	Pre	evious	

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE

FD-2010-00437

GENERAL: The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

FINDING: The Board denies the upgrade of the discharge.

ISSUE: Applicant received a General discharge for Failure in the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Treatment Program (ADAPT)

Applicant contends discharge was inequitable because it was based on two isolated and immature mistakes in sixteen months of service. The records indicated the applicant was discharged for failure in the ADAPT Program. On September 18, 2003, the applicant's commander was notified by the ADAPT Program manager that the applicant had consumed alcohol while enrolled in ADAPT and failed the treatment program. When considering how to characterize the applicant's service his commander considered an Article 15 the applicant received on August 7, 2003 for overindulgence in intoxicating liquor or drugs that incapacitated the applicant for the proper performance of duty. The Board found the seriousness of the willful misconduct offset any positive aspects of the applicant's duty performance. Additionally, the Board recognized the applicant was 22 years of age when the discharge took place. However there is no evidence that the he was immature or did not know right from wrong. The Board opined the applicant was older than the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The Board reviewed the entire record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge.

CONCLUSION: The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment: Examiner's Brief