

# AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD HEARING RECORD

NAME OF SERVICE MEMBER (LAST, FIRST MIDDLE INITIAL) <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 15px;"></div>				GRADE		AFSN/SSAN <div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 15px;"></div>	
TYPE GEN		PERSONAL APPEARANCE				X RECORD REVIEW	
COUNSEL		NAME OF COUNSEL AND OR ORGANIZATION				ADDRESS AND OR ORGANIZATION OF COUNSEL	
YES No		X					
MEMBER SITTING						HON GEN UOTHC OTHER DENY	
						X**	
						X**	
						X**	
						X**	
						X**	
ISSUES A93.01		INDEX NUMBER A67.05				<div style="background-color: black; color: white; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">EXHIBITS SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1 ORDER APPOINTING THE BOARD</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">2 APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">3 LETTER OF NOTIFICATION</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">4 BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">COUNSEL'S RELEASE TO THE BOARD</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS SUBMITTED AT TIME OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TAPE RECORDING OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE HEARING</div>	
HEARING DATE 26 Jan 2012		CASE NUMBER FD-2010-00412					
<div style="background-color: black; color: white; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">APPLICANT'S ISSUE AND THE BOARD'S DECISIONAL RATIONALE ARE DISSEMINATED TO THE APPLICANT AND FOR PDS ONLY. REPORT TO BE IN THE PERSONAL MARGINALIA.</div>							
<p>Case heard in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Advise applicant of the decision of the Board, the right to a personal appearance with/without counsel, and the right to submit an application to the AFBCMR.</p> <p>Names and votes will be made available to the applicant at the applicant's request.</p> <p>*Narrative Reason and Authority +RE Code</p>							
TO: SAF/MRBR 550 C STREET WEST, SUITE 40 RANDOLPH AFB, TX 78150-4742				FROM: SECRETARY OF THE AIR FORCE PERSONNEL COUNCIL AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD 1535 COMMAND DR, EE WING, 3RD FLOOR ANDREWS AFB, MD 20762-7001			

**AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL RATIONALE**

CASE NUMBER

**FD-2010-00412**

**GENERAL:** The applicant appeals for upgrade of discharge to honorable, to change the reason and authority for the discharge, and, to change the reenlistment code.

The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB) but declined and requests that the review be completed based on the available service record.

The attached brief contains available pertinent data on the applicant and the factors leading to the discharge.

**FINDING:** The request for the upgrade of the discharge, to change of reason and authority for discharge, and to change of reenlistment code are denied.

**ISSUE:** Applicant received a general discharge for Misconduct – A Pattern of Misconduct

Applicant submitted no issues regarding the inequity or impropriety of his discharge. The applicant does submit that he has matured since his discharge and would like another opportunity to serve his country in the Air Force Reserves. The record indicates the applicant received one Article 15, one Vacation, three Letters of Reprimand, and seven Records of Individual Counseling. His misconduct included failure to go to duty on-time (7x), failure to obey an order, failure to follow chain of command, failure to maintain dorm room standards, failure to study CDC volumes, failure to adhere to dress and appearance standards, failure to complete duty, failure to wear reflective belt was required, and failed a CDC pre-test. The Board opined that through these administrative actions, the applicant had ample opportunities to change his behavior. Additionally, they found the applicant was the same age the vast majority of first-term members who properly adhere to the Air Force's standards of conduct. The DRB concluded that the characterization of the applicant's discharge was appropriate due to the misconduct.

**CONCLUSION:** The Discharge Review Board concludes that the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

In view of the foregoing findings, the Board further concludes that there exists no legal or equitable basis for upgrade of discharge and determines the discharge should remain unchanged.

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief